IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1147 OF 2006

Smt. Chandravati R. Mishra ... Petitioner

V/s.

Bombay Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay & Ors. ... Respondent

Mr. P. Jadhav i/b. K.R. Dhanuka for the petitioner.
Mrs. S. Ajitkumar for respondent No.1.
Mr. G.D. Utangale for respondent No.2.
Ms. Felina Nair for respondent No.3.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED : 02nd May, 2006

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forhtwith.

2. There is no dispute that petitioner is entitled to accommodation as per SRA Scheme. It is also pointed out by the developer/society that there are still 200 persons to be accommodated and only 110 tenaments are there. That exercise of allotment will be completed after lots are drawn. It has been also pointed out that there is transit accommodation, wherein petitioner can be accommodated. In the light of that present petition can be disposed of by issuing following directions.

i. The respondent No. 3 to allot transit

accommodation to the petitioner in the course of the week. On behalf of respondent No. 3, the learned counsel states that if petitioner comes today, they will give him accommodation today itself.

ii. Permanent accommodation will be granted in terms of lots drawn and allotment made.

iii. Respondent No. 3 to enter into an agreement with the petitioner as required by law within 15 days from today.

3. Rule made absolute with no order as to costs.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]